

MAXIMUM ESTIMATED SURFACE ALBEDO OF SNOW
COVERED NORTHERN HEMISPHERE LANDS

David A. Robinson
Lamont-Doherty Geological Observatory
of Columbia University
Palisades, N.Y. 10964

Abstract: Maximum estimated surface albedos for potentially snow covered Northern Hemisphere lands were derived in $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ segments from Defense Meteorological Satellite Program image brightness. Average estimated albedo in qualified portions of Eurasia and North America was found to be 59.9% and 55.9% respectively. These areas comprise 84% of the land north of 25°N . Average 5° zonal albedos ranged from 43% to 77% and individual $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ segments from 21% to 80%, primarily due to distribution, density, and height of vegetation. Image brightness was measured on an image processor and converted to estimated surface albedo by linear interpolation between a brightest snow covered surface assigned a parameterized albedo of 80% and a darkest snow covered surface given an albedo of 18%. Albedo was inferred where imagery meeting maximum brightness criteria was lacking. Results are the most detailed to date, providing improved information for operational charting of snow and cloud covers, long range weather forecasting, and climate modeling efforts.

1. INTRODUCTION

Maximum estimated surface albedos of potentially snow covered Northern Hemisphere lands were derived in $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ segments from image processor analyses of Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) image brightness. Results improve an earlier qualitative satellite brightness assessment (Kukla and Robinson, 1981).

Observational studies and climate models show snow cover to be an important variable in the climate system, particularly due to its high albedo (Namias, 1962; Dewey, 1977; Manabe and Wetherald, 1980). The impact of snow cover on continental surface albedo varies significantly, however, depending primarily on the type and density of vegetation and the snow condition (Robinson and Kukla, 1982). Numerous studies have observed large albedo variations of snow covered lands on local and region levels (eg. Lillesand et al., 1982; Kung et al., 1964). These results have been incorporated with vegetation and snow distribution data to obtain global surface albedo estimates or to derive albedos in climate models (eg. Posey and Clapp, 1964; Hummel and Reck, 1979; Kukla and Robinson, 1980; Hansen et al., in press). Such an approach does not satisfactorily account for the highly variable distribution and density of vegetation in the middle and high latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere.

Maximum estimated surface albedo of snow covered Northern Hemisphere lands. Proceedings of the Remote Sensing Society Annual Conference, Liverpool, England, December 15-17, 1982, 308-315, 1982.

Direct measurement of surface albedo from satellites is not possible, primarily due to sensor spectral limitations and atmospheric attenuation of short wave radiation (Otterman and Fraser, 1976). Various atmospheric models and ground truth standards have been employed in attempts to limit these factors (Preuss and Geleyn, 1980; Rockwood and Cox, 1978). While satellite limitations are not negligible, they are minor when assessing the dramatic differences in surface brightness between snow covered regions with contrasting types and densities of vegetation.

2. DATA

DMSF imagery is from the noon equatorial crossing polar orbiting F1 satellite with the series 5D sensor. Nadir resolution is 2.8 km, sensor spectral range is $.4\mu\text{m}$ to $1.1\mu\text{m}$, satellite altitude and cross track width are approximately 930 km and 3000 km respectively (Fett et al., 1977). Signals are normalized for solar illumination and saturation is not a problem over snow covered regions (Bunting and d'Entremont, 1982). Daily imagery was available on ungridded positive film transparencies scaled at $1:15 \times 10^6$.

3. PROCEDURE

Imaged scenes were measured on an image processor when the following specifications were met:

1) Clear skies. Distinguished by observing the "sharpness" of surface features, cloud patterns, and by comparison with surrounding days. The sensor spectral range is optimal for detecting haze and cirrus (Fett et al., 1977).

2) Solar zenith angle between 60° and 70° . To equalize specular and shading effects at different latitudes.

3) Scenes fell within the middle half of the image. To minimize scan angle and differences in solar angle and maximize resolution.

4) Good transparency quality. Images with washed out or exaggerated contrast or with pronounced vertical banding were eliminated.

5) The scene exhibited maximum surface brightness within the previously specified limits. Brightness is a function of snow depth and age (Lillesand et al., 1982; McGinnis et al., 1975). Maximum brightness was confirmed through comparisons of multiple images and with the assistance of publications reporting snow depths and/or ages on or close to the image date (Woronicz, 1982; Climate Perspectives, 1979-; Weekly Weather and Crop Bulletin, 1914-; Clim.D). Average depth data was also examined (Kopanev, 1978). In most scenes snow was over 20 cm deep and a few days old.

Imagery was analyzed on a processor system which treats a projected image as 307,000 discrete pixels, assigning each a gray scale value from black (0) to white (255).

Correlation of brightness between images was achieved through aperture adjustments of the processor lens. This involved equalizing the brightness of identical $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ segments and certain reference surface types found on most images. Adjusted images were input to the processor memory then measured in $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ segments by projecting a carefully aligned grid onto the processor screen.

Brightness was converted to estimated surface albedo by linear interpolation between bright tundra and dark dense coniferous forests assigned snow covered albedos of 80% and 18% respectively. These values were parameterized from numerous ground truth studies (eg. Kung et al., 1964; McFadden and Ragotzkie, 1967; Kondratyev et al., 1981).

Error estimates of measured brightnesses gave confidence limits of $\pm 2.5\%$ to estimated albedos. This assumes parameterized albedos are representative of natural conditions and DMSP brightness is proportional to full spectral albedo. The latter seems reasonable as the broad spectral range of the sensor peaks close to the midpoint of incoming clear sky solar radiation.

Measurements were made on 75 images from 1979 and 5 from 1978, covering most of the potentially snow covered tundra, forests, and farmland of the Northern Hemisphere. Potentially covered land is defined as being within Dickson and Posey's (1967) 0% probability line of 1 inch or more snow cover at the end of January and/or December. This includes 87% of the land north of 25°N and 60% of all Northern Hemisphere lands.

Water bodies having at least one land free 1°x1° segment were not measured. Smaller bodies were frozen north of 40° and open to the south. Brightnesses exceeding the specified tundra were found only on high mountain tops and were adjusted to the lower elevation maximum. This amounted to no more than a few percent decrease in albedo in less than 5% of the segments.

Albedo was inferred where imagery meeting maximum brightness criteria was lacking with the aid of:

- 1) partially snow covered images of the area,
- 2) comparisons with analogous snow covered surface types and terrains,
- 3) vegetation charts and atlases (Olson and Watts, 1982; Wiebecke, 1971).
- 4) Landsat mosaics (Ni et al., 1975; National Geographic Society, 1976; USDA, 1974),
- 5) NOAA Very High Resolution Radiometer (VHRR), Advanced VHRR and GOES imagery.

Inferred regions were mainly in the arid and semi-arid areas of southern Asia where albedo estimation was simplified by the general absence of vegetation.

4. RESULTS

Average 5° zonal estimated albedos are reported in Table 1. Average albedos for Eurasia and North America differ by only 4% and are roughly three times their snow free value. The addition of Greenland raises the hemisphere average 0.7%. Zonal forest distribution is evident on both land masses, particularly in the 25% albedo change centered at 65°N in Eurasia.

Figure 1 presents results from 20° meridional bands in eastern Asia and central North America. The latter strip runs southward from the homogeneously bright tundra of the Canadian archipelago and Keewatin district through the lake dotted boreal forest to the plains and farm-

land of Canada and the U.S. The Asian band contains a wide forest zone, cut by the brighter Yablonovyy and Stanovoy ranges between 54° and 59°N. A snow covered mosaic of a portion of this segment is shown and discussed further in Kukla and Brown (1982). Ranges are high immediately south of the fully forested regions in both segments where forested areas gradually diminish.

Estimated albedos of individual 1°x1° segments ranged from 80% over tundra to 21% in dense coniferous forests. Most 1°x1° forested zones are not as dark for one or a combination of the following reasons:

- 1) They contain scattered fields and water bodies.
- 2) Forests are mixed or deciduous.
- 3) Forests may not be mature due to man's forestry activities in the past few centuries.

Steepe and open farmland albedos were generally 5% to 10% lower than tundra as were those of unforested mountains. The latter is most likely the result of exposed rock on steep slopes and shadowing.

5. CONCLUSION

Estimated maximum surface albedo over potentially snow covered Northern Hemisphere lands was found to be 58.7%. Albedo varied by up to 59% between individual 1°x1° segments. Data was gathered primarily from image processor analyses of satellite image brightness. Brightness was converted to estimated surface albedo using parameterized ground truth data. Results using this method in partially snow covered regions are found in Robinson and Kukla (1982). Present results are the most detailed to date, providing improved information for operational mapping of snow and cloud covers, long range weather forecasting and climate modeling efforts and may be of use to those assessing continental biomass.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to T. Gregory at the DMSP Library in Madison, Wisconsin for providing the imagery, G. Kukla and J. Gavin for technical assistance, and B. Hautau for typing. This work was funded by NSF grant ATM 80-01470.

REFERENCES

BUNTING, J. and D'ENTREMONT, R., (1982): Improved cloud detection utilizing Defense Meteorological Satellite Program near infrared measurements. Meteorology Division, Air Force Geophysics Laboratory Report AFGL-TR-82-0027, 91pp.

CLIMATOLOGICAL DATA, monthly: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Environmental Data and Information Service (numerous states).

CLIMATIC PERSPECTIVES, (1979): Atmospheric Environment Service, Canadian Climate Centre.

DEWEY, K., (1977): Daily maximum and minimum temperature forecasts and the influence of snow cover. Monthly Weather Review, 105 1594-1597.

DICKSON, R. and POSEY, J., (1967): Maps of snow-cover probability for the Northern Hemisphere. Monthly Weather Review 95 347-353.

FETT, R., BOHAN, W., and MITCHELL, W., (1977): Techniques and applications of image analysis: Defense Meteorological Satellite Program. Navy Tactical Applications Guide, Report 77-03, v. 1, section 1, 53 pp.

HANSEN, J., RUSSELL, G., RIND, D., STONE, P., LACIS, A., LEBEDEFF, S., RUEDY, R. and TRAVIS, L., (in press): Efficient three dimensional global models for climate studies: Models I and II. Monthly Weather Review.

HUMMEL, J. and RECK, R., (1979): A global surface albedo model. Journal Applied Meteorology 18 239-253.

KONDRATYEV, K., KORZOV, V., MUKHENBERG, V. and DYACHENKO, L., (1980): The shortwave albedo and the surface emissivity. Papers Presented at the JSC Study Conference on Land Surface Processes in Atmospheric General Circulation Models, Greenbelt, U.S.A., 5-10 January 1981. World Climate Research Program, WMO 463-514.

KOPANEV, I.D., (1978): Snezhni pokrov na territorii SSSR (Snow cover in the USSR Territory), Gidrometeoizdat, Leningrad, 182pp.

KUKLA, G. and ROBINSON, D., (1980): Annual cycle of surface albedo. Monthly Weather Review 108 56-68.

KUKLA, G. and ROBINSON, D., (1981): Maximum snow area density digital product. Glaciological Data, Report GD-11 135-138.

KUKLA, G. and BROWN, J., (1982): Impact of snow on surface brightness. EOS, 63, no. 29 577-578, 584.

KUNG, E., BRYSON, R. and LENSCHOW, D., (1964): Study of a continental surface albedo on the basis of flight measurements and structure of the earth's surface cover of North America, Monthly Weather Review 92 543-564.

LILLESAND, T., MEISNER, D., DOWNS, A., and DEUELL, R., (1982): Use of GOES and TIROS/NOAA satellite data for snow-cover mapping. Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing 48 251-259.

MANABE, S. and WETHERALD, R., (1980): On the distribution of climate change resulting from an increase in CO₂ content of the atmosphere. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences 37 99-118.

MCGINNIS, D., FRITCHARD, J. and Wiesnet, D., (1975): Snow depth and snow extent from the NOAA-2 satellite. NOAA Technical Memorandum NESS 63, 10pp.

MCFADDEN, J. and RAGOTZKIE, R., (1967): Climatological significance of albedo in central Canada, Journal of Geophysical Research 72 1135-1143.

NAMIAS, J., (1962): Influences of abnormal surface heat sources and sinks on atmospheric behavior. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Numerical Weather Prediction in Tokyo - November 7-13, 1960, 615-627.

NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC SOCIETY, (1976): Portrait USA: the first color photomosaic of the 48 continuous United States.

NI, J., YORK, J., and CARDWELL, R., (1975): Mosaic of Landsat imagery of China and surrounding regions, Department of Geological Science, Cornell University,

OLSON, J. and WATTS, J., (1982): Major world ecosystem complexes (chart) in: OLSON, J., WATTS, J., and ALLISON, 1982. Carbon in live vegetation of major world ecosystems. ORNL-5862, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN.

OTTERMAN, J. and FRASER, R., (1976): Earth-atmosphere system and surface reflectivities in arid regions from Landsat MSS data. Remote Sensing of Environment, 5 247-266.

POSEY, J. and CLAPP, P., (1964): Global distribution of normal surface albedo. Geofisica Internacional 4 33-48.

PREUSS, H. and GELEYN, J., (1980): Surface albedos derived from satellite data and their impact on forecast models. Archiv fur Meteorologie Geophysik und Bioklimatologie, Series A, 29 345-356.

ROBINSON, D. and KUKLA, G., (1982): Remotely sensed characteristics of snow covered lands. In: (ed. KEYDEL, W.). 1982 International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium Digest, IEEE. WA-1 2.1-2.9.

ROCKWOOD, A. and COX, S., (1978): Satellite inferred surface albedo over northwestern Africa. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences 35 513-522.

USDA SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE, (1974): Conterminous United States: mosaic of imagery from Earth Resources Technology Satellite-1.

WEEKLY WEATHER AND CROP BULLETIN, (1914-). U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Environmental Data and Information Service.

WIEBECKE, C. (ed.), (1971): Welt forstatlas, Paul Parey, Hamburg.

WORONICZ, R., (1981): The U.S. Air Force snow cover charts. Glaciological Data Report GD-11 63-69.

TABLE I
ESTIMATED SURFACE ALBEDO OF POTENTIALLY SNOW COVERED
NORTHERN HEMISPHERE LANDS

Albedo (%)			
Latitude	Eurasia	North America	Northern Hemisphere*
85-80	80.0	74.3	75.2
80-75	80.0	77.4	74.6
75-70	75.7	79.3	76.8
70-65	60.7	66.6	62.7
65-60	35.5	57.6	42.9
60-55	42.7	49.3	44.7
55-50	55.5	46.5	52.6
50-45	66.7	48.7	61.3
45-40	66.9	58.8	64.4
40-35	69.9	57.1	65.9
35-30	72.0	55.8	68.8
<u>30-25</u>	<u>68.3</u>	<u> </u>	<u>68.3</u>
85-25	59.9	55.9	58.7

*excluding Greenland

FIGURE I

Estimated maximum surface albedo of potentially snow covered lands in 1° latitudinal steps averaged across two 20° Northern Hemisphere swaths (heavy lines). Albedo range of $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ segments shown with thin lines.

